## **CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS**

**GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level** 

## MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2014 series

## 8275 GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES (US)

www.PapaCambridge.com

**8275/02** Paper 2 (Essay), maximum raw mark 30

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2014 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2014	8275	02

AO1: 10% (3) AO2: 40% (12) AO3: 33% (10) AO4: 17% (5)

- The assessment objectives (AOs) are to some extent inter-dependent and the essays should be marked holistically using the level-based mascheme below.
- Examiners should look at each section of the level descriptors. If all are solidly attained for a level, the top mark for the level is to be awarded. Descriptors describe the top mark of each level.
- There will frequently be some aspects of the answer which fall within a level and some within the level below. Examiners should award a lower mark in the higher band according to this balance. All marking will be positive. Examiners will use the full range of marks and look for the 'best fit', not a 'perfect fit'.
- There is no requirement for candidates to use technical Critical Thinking terms to access any level and candidates will **not** be rewarded for their use unless they are directly linked to the demands of the question.
- Essays should be between 1750 and 2000 words, excluding the list of reference. Examiners will not credit material after the 2000 word limit.

Level	Marks	Indicative content
4	24–30	The essay is logically structured and explores the issues <b>effectively</b> , <b>fully</b> and <b>concisely</b> without being too brief. A range of <b>relevant</b> sources is used, cited and <b>fully</b> referenced.
		There are at least two relevant and contrasting global perspectives stated and explained, using globally contrasting sources.
		There is effective selection and critical use of relevant evidence so that the relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context is <b>clear</b> .
		The argument is <b>fully</b> developed with the premises challenged appropriately. The perspectives and sources are <b>critically evaluated</b> .
		The essay considers the implications and consequences of <b>each</b> perspective and, through reflection, reaches a <b>convincing</b> , <b>balanced and supported</b> conclusion.
		The limitations of the evidence are <b>fully</b> recognised and the need for further research is suggested and its likely impact is <b>assessed</b> .

ide.com

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2014	8275	02

		Page 3	Mark Scheme GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2014	Syllabus 8275	Paper 02	· de		
_evel	Marks		Indicative conter	nt	<u> </u>	, Cal		
3	16–23	relevant sources is used, cited and referenced.						
		There is <b>some</b> attempt to select and make <b>some</b> critical use of relevant evidence although the relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context is <b>not</b> always clear.						
		The perspectives and sources <b>are</b> evaluated in the global context, but the treatment <b>lacks</b> width or depth. An argument i developed with some of the premises challenged.						
		The essay considers <b>some</b> of the implications and consequences of <b>each</b> perspective and through reflection, reaches a conclusion which is <b>mostly convincing</b> , <b>balanced</b> and <b>supported</b> .						
		<b>Some</b> of the limitations of the evidence are recognised and the need for further research is suggested but its likely impact may lack <b>assessment</b> .						
2	8–15	Some of the issues are explored in the essay and there is some structure, but it may lack clarity of expression at times. The range of relevant sources used is limited and some are cited and accurately referenced.						
		Two perspectives are stated, though not necessarily global or contrasting, and not explained.						
		There is <b>some</b> attempt to select and make <b>some</b> critical use of relevant evidence although the candidate <b>struggles</b> to ex and control the relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context. Evaluation is limited at best and the treatiliacks width <b>and</b> depth.						
		Any argument lacks suffi	cient development.					
			ne of the implications and consequences of so be unconvincing due to a lack of balance or s		nd through <b>some</b>	reflection, reaches		
		<b>Some</b> of the limitations of the evidence are recognised, but the need for further research may be <b>understated</b> , If present.						

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2014	8275	02

		Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	1.0	
			GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2014	8275	02	Tab	
Level	Marks		Indicative conten	t		ann	
1	1–7		Page 4 Mark Scheme Syllabus Paper GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2014 8275 02  Indicative content  are mainly given a descriptive treatment and the essay may be lacking in structure. The sources used provide a very perspective and the referencing is incomplete or inaccurate.  Perspectives described lack a genuine global focus or do not offer complementary viewpoints.				
		Any perspectives described <b>lack</b> a genuine global focus <b>or</b> do <b>not</b> offer complementary viewpoints.  The relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context is <b>unclear or absent</b> . There is <b>little</b> critical use of relevant evidence to communicate the argument.					
		I relevant evidence to cor	nmunicate the argument.				
		The argument <b>lacks</b> v	nmunicate the argument. alidity, given the evidence or is <b>not</b> developed es due to a lack of evidence. The essay does <b>no</b>		is <b>limited</b> scop	pe to evaluate the	
		The argument <b>lacks</b> v perspectives and source perspective.	alidity, given the evidence or is <b>not</b> developed	ot consider the impl	e is <b>limited</b> scop cations and cons	pe to evaluate the sequences of <b>each</b>	
		The argument lacks v perspectives and source perspective.  The essay lacks eviden	alidity, given the evidence or is <b>not</b> developedes due to a lack of evidence. The essay does <b>no</b>	ot consider the imple	e is limited scop cations and cons and lack support	pe to evaluate the sequences of <b>each</b>	