

## Applied ICT

## OCR GCE H115/H315/H715 Unit G045 Unit Recording Sheet

Please read the instructions before completing this form

Examination Session Year

Unit Code	G045	Unit Title	Software develop	me	nt –	desi	ign				
Centre Num	nber		Centre Name								
Candidate I	Number	Candidate Name									
Evidence: The ca	ndidate needs	s to produce a desig	n for a solution to a given pro	olem,	in a fa	miliar (	conte	ct.			
If work is a re-sit, please tick		ssion and Year of vious submission	January/June	2	0				 cate this wor I internally	k has	
A.1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)  • The candidate identifies the tools and techniques which are used during the						men	t			Pa	ge
analysis stage;		·									
A.2 Criteria (3		avalanation includ	ing advantages as limitations	-4							
The candidate gives a simple explanation, including advantages or limitations of the tools and techniques which are used during the analysis stage;											
A.3 Criteria (4										Ма	rk
The candidate gives a detailed explanation, including advantages and limitations of the tools and techniques which are used during the analysis stage providing examples of when each could be used.										(Ma	x 4)
B.1 Criteria (0	) - 1 - 2 ma	rks)			Com	men	t			Pa	ge
The candidate i design stage;	dentifies the t	ools and techniques	which are used during the								
B.2 Criteria (3	marks)										
		explanation, includ are used during the	ing advantages or limitations design stage;	of							
B.3 Criteria (4										Ма	rk
	chniques which	ch are used during t	ding advantages and limitatio he design stage providing	าร						(Ma	x 4)
C.1 Criteria (0	) - 1 - 2 ma	rks)			Com	men	t			Pa	ge
The candidate i	dentifies inve	stigation methods;									
C.2 Criteria (3											
The candidate ginvestigation meth		e explanation, includ	ing advantages or limitations	of							
C.3 Criteria (4										Ма	rk
			ding advantages and limitatio en each could be used.	าร						(Ma	x 4)
D(i).1 Criteria	•		dan anno accepta (C. 111111		Com	men	t			Pa	ge
and showing a sin	gle solution to	the given problem;	ing some aspects of feasibiliting, punctuation and gramma								
D(i).2 Criteria	•	•									
showing alternate	solutions to the	he given problem;	ering all aspects of feasibility actuation and grammar errors;	ind							
D.3 Criteria (7	′ - 8 - 9 ma	rks)									
The candidate p detail and showing solution;	oroduces a de g alternate so report is cons	etailed report consident lutions to the given positions to the given positions.	ering all aspects of feasibility in problem, justifying the chosen are few, if any,	1						Ma (Ma	
Ľ.											

D(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)	Comment	Page
The candidate produces simple or incomplete designs for input/output		
requirements that do not fully meet the defined requirements of the end-user; the candidate does not consider standard design concepts;		
D(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)		
The candidate produces designs for input/output requirements that meet the defined requirements of the end-user;		
standard design concepts are taken into account but some areas may not be fully considered		
D(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 marks)		Mark
The candidate produces designs for input/output requirements, including calculations that are needed, that fully meet the defined requirements of the enduser;		(Max 6
all standard design concepts are taken into account.		
E.1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)	Comment	Page
The candidate produces an incomplete data-flow model for the current solution with incomplete documentation;		
E.2 Criteria (3 - 4 - 5 marks)		
<ul> <li>The candidate produces a data-flow model of the current solution using simple graphical representation with complete documentation;</li> </ul>		
E.3 Criteria (6 - 7 - 8 marks)		Mark
<ul> <li>The candidate produces a complete data-flow model of the current solution, making effective use of formal graphical representation with complete and detailed documentation.</li> </ul>		(Max 8
F.1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)	Comment	Page
The candidate produces an incomplete ERD for the proposed solution with incomplete documentation;		
F.2 Criteria (3 - 4 - 5 marks)		
<ul> <li>The candidate produces a simple ERD of the solution, with complete documentation;</li> </ul>		
F.3 Criteria (6 - 7 - 8 marks)		Mark
The candidate produces a complete ERD of the solution, with complete and detailed documentation.		(Max 8
G.1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)	Comment	Page
The candidate produces a simple evaluation of the system; the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving the problem;		
G.2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)		
The candidate evaluates the solution discussing either benefits or disadvantages of the solution;		
the candidate includes an analysis on their experiences in order to improve their own performance;  G.3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)		
own performance;		Mark (Max 7

## **Guidance on Completion of this Form**

- One form should be used for each candidate.
- 2 Please ensure that the appropriate boxes at the top of the form are completed.
- Please enter *specific* page numbers where evidence can be found in the portfolio, and where possible, indicate to which part of the text in the mark band the evidence relates.
- 4 Enter the mark awarded for each strand of the marking criteria in the appropriate box and also enter the final mark in the total column.
- Add the marks for the strands together to give a total out of 50. Enter this total in the relevant box.

Extra Comment (please indicate to which Criteria comments refer)					