3659282074

Applied ICT OCR GCE H115/H315/H715 Unit G047

Unit Recording Sheet

Please read the i	nstructions	s before completing t	this form	E	am	inati	ion Ses	ssion		Year	
Unit Code G047 Unit Title Introduction to progr						mmi	ing				
Centre Number Centre Name											
Candidate I	Number	r	Candidate Na	ne							
has been given, a candidate has writ purpose of particu	nd written in <i>tten</i> , to imple lar language	n a different language f ement designs <i>the car</i>	t programming language from that used in Task b ndidate has been given; ce of languages used for	; annota a report	ited pi desci	rogram ribing t	listings fo he differen	r a number o t types of pro	f working pr ogramming l	ograms, <i>tha</i> anguage and	t <i>the</i> d the
If work is a re-sit, please tick		Session and Year of previous submission	January/June	2	0			Please tick been standa		nis work has nally	
A(i).1 Criteria	(0 - 1 - 2	marks)				Com	iment				Page
<ul> <li>The candidate techniques;</li> </ul>	uses ICT too	ols to annotate the give	en program listing to ide	ntify so	ne						
A(i).2 Criteria	(3 - 4 - 5	marks)									
	es – at least	t one example each of	en program listing to ide f program constructs, sto		nd						
A(i).3 Criteria	(6 - 7 ma	arks)									Mark
	iques – con	structs, storage and m	ogram listing to clearly id nanipulation of data, moc		I						(wax I)
A(ii).1 Criteria	a (0 - 1 - 2	2 marks)				Com	ment				Page
	iotate the given	ven program listing to	ols and techniques by us describe how selection,								
A(ii).2 Criteria	a (3 - 4 m	arks)									
	en program		ols and techniques by co ram constructs, data ma		on						
A(ii).3 Criteria	a (5 - 6 m	arks)									Mark
	en program	listing to fully explain	ols and techniques by co all program constructs, s								(Max 6)
B(i).1 Criteria						Com	iment				Page
		ols to produce progran s use of a limited rang	ns with annotated progra le of techniques;	ım listin	gs;						
B(i).2 Criteria	(4 - 5 - 6	marks)									
		ols to produce progran s use of a range of teo	ns with annotated progra chniques;	ım listin	gs;						
B(i).3 Criteria	(7 - 8 ma	arks)									Mark
<ul> <li>the candidate d</li> </ul>	lemonstrate		ns with annotated progra ques – constructs, storaç aintainability.		gs;						(Max 8)
JRS770 Revise	d Sentembe	er 2014			1						RS/G04

Oxford Cambridge and RSA

B(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 - 3 marks)	Comment	Page
The candidate demonstrates an understanding of components and functions of		
programming languages by annotating the program listings to identify the language		
hey have used and where they have used selection, repetition and data		
nanipulation;		
B(ii).2 Criteria (4 - 5 marks)		
The candidate also annotates their program listings to identify the type of language	-	
used and where they have used data storage and subroutines;		
B(ii).3 Criteria (6 - 7 marks)		Mark
The candidate annotates their program listings fully and clearly to also describe the		(Max 7)
purpose of the language used and the use of local and global variables.		
B(iii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 - 3 marks)	Comment	Page
The candidate applies their knowledge of ICT tools and techniques to produce a set		
of working programs to meet the given designs;		
B(iii).2 Criteria (4 - 5 - 6 marks)		
The candidate produces effective working solutions to the given designs by making		
appropriate use of the features of the language used;		
B(iii).3 Criteria (7 - 8 - 9 marks)		Mark
The candidate produces effective and efficient solutions to the given designs with     appropriate use of techniques for improving readability and maintainability		(Max 9)
appropriate use of techniques for improving readability and maintainability.		
C(i).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)	Comment	Page
The candidate describes the type and purpose of a limited range of programming		l ugo
anguages;		
C(i).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)		
The candidate describes the type and purpose of a range of programming		
languages;		
C(i).3 Criteria (5 - 6 marks)		Mark
		(Max 6)
The candidate describes a wide range of programming languages fully explaining		
<ul> <li>The candidate describes a wide range of programming languages fully explaining the type and purpose of each.</li> </ul>		
he type and purpose of each.	Comment	Page
he type and purpose of each. C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)	Comment	. ,
the type and purpose of each.  C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks) The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the	Comment	. ,
he type and purpose of each. <b>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</b> The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the eatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;	Comment	. ,
he type and purpose of each. <b>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</b> The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the eatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to	Comment	
he type and purpose of each. <b>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</b> The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the eatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;	Comment	. ,
the type and purpose of each. <b>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</b> The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the reatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b; <b>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</b>	Comment	. ,
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them</li> </ul>	Comment	. ,
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> </ul>	Comment	
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and</li> </ul>	Comment	. ,
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the eatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to</li> </ul>	Comment	. ,
he type and purpose of each. C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks) The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the eatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b; C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks) The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;	Comment	. ,
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing</li> </ul>	Comment	
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance;</li> </ul>	Comment	. ,
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> </ul>	Comment	. ,
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance;</li> <li>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> </ul>	Comment	. ,
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance;</li> <li>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> </ul>	Comment	Page
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance;</li> <li>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting improvements to each of the program designs and listings;</li> </ul>	Comment	Page
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance;</li> <li>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting improvements to each of the programs giving a valid reason for each suggested improvement;</li> </ul>	Comment	Page
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance;</li> <li>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies attempts and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting improvements to each of the programs giving a valid reason for each suggested improvement;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing</li> </ul>	Comment	Page
<ul> <li>the type and purpose of each.</li> <li>C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks)</li> <li>The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b;</li> <li>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least one improvement to each of the programs;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance;</li> <li>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</li> <li>The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings;</li> <li>the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and refines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting improvements to each of he programs giving a valid reason for each suggested improvement;</li> </ul>		
he type and purpose of each. C(ii).1 Criteria (0 - 1 - 2 marks) The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the eatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b; C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks) The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and efines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least <b>one</b> improvement to each of the programs; the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs in order to improve their performance; C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks) The candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and efines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting improvements to <b>each</b> of he programs giving a valid reason for each suggested improvement; the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs giving a valid reason for each suggested improvement; the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing programs, suggesting how they might approach a similar task in the future.		Page
The candidate comments on the effectiveness of solutions by identifying the eatures of the chosen languages that make them suitable for the given program lesigns and listings; the candidate comments on their actions and role in solving problems in order to complete tasks a and b; <b>C(ii).2 Criteria (3 - 4 marks)</b> The candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them uitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and effines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least <b>one</b> improvement to ach of the programs; the candidate identifies the features of the chosen languages that make them uitable for the given program designs and listings; the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing rograms in order to improve their performance; <b>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</b> The candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and effines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting at least <b>one</b> improvement to indicate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and writing rograms in order to improve their performance; <b>C(ii).3 Criteria (5 - 6 - 7 marks)</b> The candidate identifies strengths and weaknesses in their initial solutions and effines them in relation to the user's needs by suggesting improvements to <b>each</b> of the programs giving a valid reason for each suggested improvement; the candidate includes an analysis of their experiences while annotating and writing rograms, suggesting how they might approach a similar task in the future.	his form will be available on	Page

## Guidance on Completion of this Form

- 1 **One** form should be used for each candidate.
- 2 Please ensure that the appropriate boxes at the top of the form are completed.
- 3 Please enter *specific* page numbers where evidence can be found in the portfolio, and where possible, indicate to which part of the text in the mark band the evidence relates.
- 4 Enter the mark awarded for each strand of the marking criteria in the appropriate box and also enter the final mark in the total column.
- 5 Add the marks for the strands together to give a total out of 50. Enter this total in the relevant box.

**URS770** Revised September 2014

Extra Comment (please indicate to which Criteria comments refer)

3659282074